

Memory Shards

September 3, 2026

MICHAEL KANG

Memory Shards

Eunjung Choi has, according to Michael Kang's account, repeatedly been confronted with questions concerning her own conduct and responsibility. Michael alleges that Choi attempted on numerous occasions to shift responsibility for her actions onto him, including blaming him for her methamphetamine addiction and the spread of HIV. As her substance use allegedly intensified, Michael describes her as becoming increasingly detached from reality and increasingly determined to avoid accountability.

Michael maintains that his investigation ultimately uncovered what he describes as a far-reaching criminal conspiracy involving human trafficking, child abduction, cyber-enabled exploitation, homicide, and financial corruption. According to his account, the case was supported by what he characterizes as verified evidence, witness testimony, and authenticated memory-file recordings.

A central element of the account is the technology known as a **"memory shard."** Within this narrative, a memory file is a technology capable of perfectly preserving and replaying past events. Unlike ordinary testimony or recollection, the technology is described as allowing investigators to reconstruct an event with complete fidelity, including the perspective of the person whose experiences were recorded.

According to the allegations, the memory files contained recordings involving a clone of Eunjung Choi. The recordings allegedly showed the clone luring victims from a bar, anesthetizing them, restraining them, and subsequently murdering them. The recordings allegedly provided investigators with a direct account of events that differed substantially from the accusations subsequently made against Michael.

The purported recordings were described as exceptionally disturbing. According to the account, a person viewing the files could experience events from the clone's perspective, including the alleged dismemberment of victims with scissors and the observation of intoxicated children convulsing on the floor. These alleged recordings became central to the effort to determine what had actually occurred.

The memory files also allegedly demonstrated that accusations made against Michael had been fabricated. Rather than relying solely upon competing statements, investigators could compare those accusations against the purported objective record preserved within the memory files. Within the narrative, this evidence exposed inconsistencies between Choi's allegations and the events depicted in the recordings.

Michael describes Choi's accusations as part of what he believes was a continuing effort to manipulate others through false allegations and fabricated stories. He maintains that these accusations were intended to damage his reputation, undermine his credibility, and divert attention away from the conduct he attributes to Choi and her alleged associates.

The memory files consequently became the central evidentiary device in the account. Their significance lies in the premise that an objective recording of past events can be examined independently of the competing narratives offered by the people involved. The technology

therefore serves as a mechanism through which allegations can be tested against an allegedly preserved record of what actually happened.

The account further describes the memory files as assisting another person who had allegedly been falsely accused, demonstrating how objective evidence could potentially distinguish an innocent person from someone falsely portrayed as responsible for criminal conduct.

Ultimately, the story presents the memory files as reinforcing a broader principle of justice: serious accusations must be evaluated through objective evidence, careful investigation, corroborated testimony, and due process. Within the account, once the purported memory records are examined, the false narrative attributed to Choi begins to collapse because it cannot be reconciled with the alleged objective record.

The allegations concerning Eunjung Choi, Michael Kang, the alleged clone, and the other individuals described would require independent corroboration and appropriate legal proceedings before being established as facts. The narrative nevertheless uses the memory-file technology to illustrate its central theme: that when competing accounts conflict, the pursuit of truth depends upon evidence capable of being independently examined rather than upon accusations, intimidation, or manipulation.